



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.         | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/720,742   | 11/24/2003  | Lawrence W. Yonge III | 04838-077001                | 2741                   |
| 26161 7590 12/20/2007<br>FISH & RICHARDSON PC<br>P.O. BOX 1022<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 |             |                       | EXAMINER<br>PATEL, CHIRAG R |                        |
|  |             |                       | ART UNIT<br>2141            | PAPER NUMBER           |
|  |             |                       | MAIL DATE<br>12/20/2007     | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/720,742

Applicant(s)

YONGE ET AL.

Examiner

Chirag R. Patel

Art Unit

2141

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chirag Patel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Elliot J. Mason, III (Reg #56,569).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yi et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
JASON CARDONE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was discussed that that a sub-frame was interpreted as putting header on the stream as disclosed in applicant's spec. A discussion took place that the first PDU contained a sequence number and second PDU contained the payload units, and referred to as the plurality of pieces that is independently transmitted in split mode across different channels. A discussion took place whether the Li field was between the higher layer SDU and whether it indicated the length between the sub-frames. Examiner will reconsider reference and update the search.